

*Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.*

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS**

**No. 18-BG-512**

IN RE MICHAEL A. CAPUZZI

A Member of the Bar of the  
District of Columbia Court of Appeals

DDN: 180-16

Bar Registration No. 441987

BEFORE: Glickman, Thompson, and Beckwith, Associate Judges.

**ORDER**

(FILED – August 2, 2018)

On consideration of the certified order from the state of Michigan suspending respondent from the practice of law for a period of 90 days; this court's June 5, 2018, order suspending respondent pending resolution of this matter and directing him to show cause why reciprocal discipline should not be imposed; respondent's response to the court's order and motion requesting that his discipline run concurrent with the discipline imposed by the state of Michigan; and the statement of Disciplinary Counsel; and it appearing that respondent filed an *In re Goldberg*, 460 A.2d 982 (D.C. 1983), affidavit, it is

ORDERED that respondent's motion requesting that his discipline run concurrent with his Michigan discipline is granted. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that Michael A. Capuzzi is hereby suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for a period of 90 days, *nunc pro tunc* to April 2, 2018. See *In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483 (D.C. 2010); *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) (rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate).

**PER CURIAM**