

District of Columbia Court of Appeals

Notice of Correction to D.C. Court of Appeals Rules as Published (Filed - December 1, 2004)

By order of November 26, 2001 (in a rule-making matter designated as No. M-209-01) the District of Columbia Court of Appeals (hereinafter, the Court) amended D.C. App. R. 46 (c)(4), regarding Special Legal Consultants. Those amendments were correctly included in the text of Rule 46 (c)(4) as published by LexisNexis and West Publishing Co. in the 2002 and 2003 editions of the Court of Appeals' rules.

By order of December 2, 2003, the Court of Appeals published on its website and elsewhere a comprehensive revision of its rules of practice. D.C. App. R. 46 (c)(4) was not amended in the December 2, 2003 revision of its rules. However, an error was made in the text of D.C. App. R. 46 (c)(4)(A)(1) as published by the Court in its revised rules. Further that error was included by LexisNexis, West Publishing Co. And the Rules Service Co. in their 2004 editions of the rules of this court. This notice is to inform the public of the error, and the correction of the text of D.C. App. R. 46 (c)(4)(A)(1).

D.C. App. R. 46 (c)(4)(A)(1) was amended by the court's order of November 26, 2001 to read as follows:

(4) Special Legal Consultants.

(A) Licensing Requirements. In its discretion, the court may license to practice as a Special Legal Consultant, without examination, an applicant who:

(1) Has been admitted to practice (or has obtained the equivalent of admission) in a foreign country, and ~~(a) is engaged in the practice of law in that country, and (b)~~ is in good standing as an attorney or counselor at law (or the equivalent of either) in that country.

The stricken words were deleted by the rule amendment of November 26, 2001, but incorrectly included in the December 3, 2003 version of the court's rules as published by the court, and as published in the LexisNexis, West Publishing Co. and the Rules Service Co.'s 2004 editions of the rules of this court.